

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO But 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.waybo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/538,252  | 06/09/2005  | Dirk A Heerding      | P51399              | 1871             |  |
| 2042. 7591 99172098<br>SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION<br>CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY-US, UW2220 |             |                      | EXAM                | EXAMINER         |  |
|   |             |                      | HAVLIN, ROBERT H    |                  |  |
| P. O. BOX 1539<br>KING OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406-0939  |             | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |
|   |             |                      | 1626                |                  |  |
|   |             |                      |                     |                  |  |
|   |             |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|   |             |                      | 09/17/2008          | ELECTRONIC       |  |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

US cipkop@gsk.com

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/538.252 HEERDING ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit ROBERT HAVLIN 1626 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) ROBERT HAVLIN. (3) (2) Wayne Dustman. (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 10 September 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 45,46,50,51,53 and 54. Identification of prior art discussed: Aiito, Aval-hershkovitz, Raevmaekers. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the disclosure of the prior art references in the 103 rejection. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

//Kamal A Saeed, Ph.D./
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626
U.S. Patent and Transma. Office